



## ON SOME $w$ -WEIGHTED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES INVOLVING THE SAIGO FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

MOHAMED HOUAS\*

Laboratory FIMA, UDBKM, University of Khemis Miliana, Algeria

**Abstract.** Some weighted fractional integral inequalities are obtained using the Saigo fractional integral operators. Fractional  $q$ -integral inequalities are also established based on the Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral operators.

**Keywords.** Saigo fractional integral operator; Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral operator;  $q$ -integral inequality; Integral inequality.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 26A33, 26D10.

### 1. Introduction

By applying the different fractional integral operators, such as, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators, the Hadamard fractional operators, the Saigo fractional integral operators and fractional  $q$ -integral operators, many researchers have obtained a lot of fractional integral inequalities and fractional  $q$ -integral inequalities; see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and the references therein. Recently, Dahmani and Bedjaoui [9] gave the following integral inequality based on the Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals.

Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two positive and continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $h$  is increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Then for all  $\alpha > 0, \sigma > 0, \delta \geq \theta > 0$ , we have

$$I_a^\alpha \left[ f^{\sigma+\delta}(t) \right]_a^\alpha \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] \geq I_a^\alpha \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_a^\alpha \left[ f^{\sigma+\theta}(t) \right], \quad a < t \leq b, \quad (1.1)$$

---

E-mail address: houasmed@yahoo.fr.

Received March 21, 2017; Accepted November 1, 2017.

Dahmani [10] established the following fractional integral inequalities which are generalizations of the inequalities (1), by using the Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals. Let  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $h$  be positive continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are decreasing and  $h$  is increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & I_a^\alpha \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_a^\alpha \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_a^\alpha \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_a^\alpha \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right], \quad a < t \leq b, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $\sigma > 0, \delta \geq \theta_k > 0, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Recently, Chinchane and Pachpatte [11] established some new fractional integral inequalities by using Saigo fractional integral operators. In [12], Chinchane and Pachpatte obtained some new integral inequalities for the Hadamard fractional integral operators. Dahmani and Pachpatte [13] derived certain integral inequalities involving the fractional  $q$ -integral operators. Motivated by [9, 10], the main aim of this paper is to establish some weighted fractional integral inequalities for (1.1) and (1.2) involving the Saigo fractional integral operators. Also, fractional  $q$ -integral inequalities are presented using the Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral operators.

## 2. Weighted integral inequalities involving the Saigo fractional integral

In this section, we introduce some definitions and properties concerning the Saigo fractional integral. For more details, we refer the reader to [4, 14, 15].

**Definition 2.1.** A real valued function  $f(t)$  is said to be in the space  $\mathbb{C}_\mu(0, \infty)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a real number  $p > \mu$  such that  $f(t) = t^p f_1(t)$ , where  $f_1(t) \in \mathbb{C}(0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Saigo fractional integral  $I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}$  of order  $\alpha$  for a real valued continuous function  $f(t)$  is defined by

$$I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [f(t)] = \frac{t^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1 \left( \alpha + \beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{x}{t} \right) f(x) dx, \quad (2.1)$$

where, the function  ${}_2F_1(\cdot)$  in the right-hand side of (2.1) is the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_2F_1(\varepsilon, \varepsilon; \kappa; t) = \sum \frac{(\varepsilon)_n (\varepsilon)_n t^n}{(\kappa)_n n!},$$

and  $(\varepsilon)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol  $(\varepsilon)_n = \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 1) \dots (\varepsilon + n - 1)$ ,  $(\varepsilon)_0 = 1$ .

The integral operator  $I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}$  includes both the Riemann-Liouville and the Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operators given by the following relationships

$$I^\alpha [f(t)] = I_{0,t}^{\alpha,-\alpha,\eta} [f(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(x) dx, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and

$$I^{\alpha,\eta} [f(t)] = I_{0,t}^{\alpha,0,\eta} [f(t)] = \frac{t^{-\alpha-\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-x)^{\alpha-1} x^\eta f(x) dx, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du$ . For  $f(t) = t^\varpi$  in (2.1), we get the known formula

$$I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} t^\varpi = \frac{\Gamma(\varpi+1) \Gamma(\varpi+1-\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(\varpi+1-\beta) \Gamma(\varpi+1+\alpha+\eta)} t^{\varpi-\beta},$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\alpha > \min(\varpi, \varpi - \beta + \eta) > -1$ .

First, we present some weighted integral inequalities involving the Saigo fractional integral operators.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two positive and continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $g$  is increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f^\delta(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f^\theta(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta)$ ,  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\beta - 1 < \eta < 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta > 0$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x) &= \frac{t^{-\alpha-\beta} (t-x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} {}_2F_1 \left( \alpha + \beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1 - \frac{x}{t} \right), \quad x \in (0, t); \quad t > 0, \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{-\alpha-\beta} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{(\alpha+\beta)(-\eta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{-\alpha-\beta-1} (t-x)^\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{(\alpha+\beta)(-\eta)(\alpha+\beta+1)(-\eta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)} t^{-\alpha-\beta-2} (t-x)^{\alpha+1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the continuous function  $F(t, x)$  remains positive, for all  $x \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$  since each term of the above series is positive in view of the conditions stated with Theorem 2.1. Since  $f$  and  $h$  are two positive and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $h$  is

increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , we have

$$(h^\sigma(y) - h^\sigma(x)) (f^{\delta-\theta}(x) - f^{\delta-\theta}(y)) \geq 0,$$

for all  $\sigma > 0, \delta \geq \theta > 0, x, y \in (0, t), t > 0$ , which implies that

$$h^\sigma(y) f^{\delta-\theta}(x) + f^{\delta-\theta}(y) h^\sigma(x) \geq h^\sigma(y) f^{\delta-\theta}(y) + h^\sigma(x) f^{\delta-\theta}(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.3) by  $F(t, x) w(x) f^\theta(x)$ ,  $x \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , where  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is positive continuous function and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $x$  from 0 to  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h^\sigma(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\delta(t)] + f^{\delta-\theta}(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t)] \\ & \geq h^\sigma(y) f^{\delta-\theta}(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\theta(t)] + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Next, on multiplying both sides of (2.4) by  $F(t, y) w(y) f^\theta(y)$ ,  $y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $y$  over  $(0, t)$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\delta(t)] + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t)] \\ & \geq I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\theta(t)] + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\theta(t)] \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.2).

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that  $f$  and  $h$  are two positive and continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $h$  is increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\delta(t)] \\ & + I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} [w(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t)] \\ & \geq I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) f^\theta(t)] \\ & + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} [w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t)] I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} [w(t) f^\theta(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $t > 0, \alpha > \max(0, -\beta), \omega > \max(0, -\lambda), \beta, \lambda < 1, \beta - 1 < \eta < 0, \lambda - 1 < \gamma < 0, \delta \geq \theta > 0, \sigma > 0$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (2.4) by the quantity  $G(t, y) w(y) f^\theta(y)$ , where

$$G(t, y) = \frac{t^{-\omega-\lambda} (t-y)^{\omega-1}}{\Gamma(\omega)} {}_2F_1\left(\omega + \lambda, -\gamma; \omega; 1 - \frac{y}{t}\right), \quad y \in (0, t), t > 0,$$

and using the arguments mentioned above in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that the function  $G(t, y)$  remains positive under the conditions stated with Theorem 2.2. Integrating the resulting inequality obtained with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f^\delta(t) \right] + I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f^\theta(t) \right] \\ & \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) f^\theta(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (2.5).

**Remark 2.3.** If  $\alpha = \omega$ ,  $\beta = \lambda$  and  $\eta = \gamma$  in Theorem 2.1, then we obtain Theorem 2.2.

Next, we generalize the previous theorems by using a family of  $n$  positive functions defined on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $h$  be positive continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that  $h$  is increasing and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then, the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

holds for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta)$ ,  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\beta - 1 < \eta < 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** Letting  $x, y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , we have

$$h^\sigma(y) f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(x) + f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(y) h^\sigma(x) \geq h^\sigma(y) f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(y) + h^\sigma(x) f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(x), \quad (2.7)$$

for any  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Multiplying both sides of (2.7) by

$$F(t, x) w(x) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(x), \quad x \in (0, t), t > 0,$$

and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $x$  from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& h^\sigma(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] + f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \geq h^\sigma(y) f_k^{\delta-\theta_k}(y) I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now, Multiplying both sides of (2.8) by  $F(t, y) w(y) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(y)$ ,  $y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \geq I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.6). This completes proof.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $h$  be positive continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that  $h$  is increasing and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then, for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \geq I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \quad + I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

with  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta)$ ,  $\omega > \max(0, -\lambda)$ ,  $\beta, \lambda < 1$ ,  $\beta - 1 < \eta < 0$ ,  $\lambda - 1 < \gamma < 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (2.8) by  $G(t, y) w(y) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(y)$ ,  $y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& + I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& \geq I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\
& + I_{0,t}^{\omega,\lambda,\gamma} \left[ w(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} \left[ w(t) h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.5.

**Remark 2.6.** Applying Theorem 2.5 for  $\alpha = \omega$ ,  $\beta = \lambda$  and  $\eta = \gamma$ , we obtain Theorem 2.4 immediately.

### 3. $q$ -Integral inequalities involving the Saigo fractional $q$ -integral

We give some necessary definitions and mathematical preliminaries of fractional  $q$ -calculus. More details, one can consult [16, 17, 18, 19].

For any complex number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define  $[\alpha]_q = \frac{1-q^\alpha}{1-q}$ ,  $q \neq 1$ ;  $[n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [2]_q [1]_q$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $([\vartheta]_q)_n = [\vartheta]_q [\vartheta+1]_q \dots [\vartheta+n-1]_q$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $[0]_q! = 1$  and the  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined for as a product of  $n$  factors by

$$(\alpha; q)_n = 1, n = 0; (\alpha; q)_n = (1-\alpha)(1-\alpha q) \dots (1-\alpha q^{n-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.1)$$

and in terms of the basic analogue of the gamma function

$$(q^\alpha; q)_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha+n)(1-q)^n}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}, n > 0,$$

where the  $q$ -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(z) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty (1-q)^{1-z}}{(q^z; q)_\infty}, 0 < q < 1.$$

We note that

$$\Gamma_q(1+z) = \frac{(1-q)^z \Gamma_q(z)}{1-q},$$

and if  $|q| < 1$ , the definition (3.1) remains meaningful for  $n = \infty$ , as a convergent infinite product given by  $(\alpha; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^i)$ . Also, the  $q$ -binomial expansion is given by

$$(\tau - \rho)_v = \tau^v \left( \frac{-\rho}{\tau}; q \right)_v = \tau^v \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{\tau}\right) q^i}{1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{\tau}\right) q^{v+i}} \right).$$

Letting  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define a specific time scale

$$T_{t_0} = \{t; t = t_0 q^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

The Jackson's  $q$ -derivative and  $q$ -integral of a function  $f$  defined on  $T_{t_0}$  are, respectively, given by

$$D_{q,t}[f(t)] = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{t(1-q)}, \quad t \neq 0, \quad q \neq 1,$$

and

$$\int_0^t f(x) d_q x = t(1-q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j f(tq^j).$$

**Definition 3.1.** The Riemann-Liouville fractional  $q$ -integral operator of a function  $f(t)$  of order  $\alpha$  is given by

$$I_q^\alpha [f(t)] = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left( \frac{qx}{t}; q \right)_{\alpha-1} f(x) d_q x, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

where

$$(a; q)_\alpha = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha; q)_\infty}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition 3.2.** For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , the basic analogue of the Kober fractional integral operator is given by

$$I_q^{\alpha, \eta} [f(t)] = \frac{t^{-\eta-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left( \frac{qx}{t}; q \right)_{\alpha-1} x^\eta f(x) d_q x, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

**Definition 3.3.** For  $\alpha > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  a basic analogue of the Saigo's fractional integral operator is given for  $\left| \frac{x}{t} \right| < 1$  by

$$I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f(t)] = \frac{t^{-\beta-1} q^{-\eta(\alpha+\beta)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left( \frac{qx}{t}; q \right)_{\alpha-1} \mathcal{F}_{q, \frac{q^{\alpha+1}x}{t}} \left( {}_2\Omega_1 \left[ q^{\alpha+\beta}, q^{-\eta}; q^\alpha; q, q \right] \right) f(x) d_q x, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\eta$  is any non-negative integer, and the function  ${}_2\Omega_1(\cdot)$  and the  $q$ -translation operator occurring in the right-hand side of (3.2) are, respectively, defined by

$$({}_2\Omega_1[a, b; c; q, t]) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n (b, q)_n}{(c; q)_n (q, q)_n} t^n, \quad |q| < 1, |t| < 1,$$



and

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,x}(f(t)) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n t^n \left( \frac{x}{t}; q \right)_n,$$

where  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  ( $\mathbb{Z} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) is any bounded sequence of real or complex numbers. For  $f(t) = t^{\varpi}$ , we get the known formula

$$I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [t^{\varpi}] = \frac{\Gamma_q(\varpi + 1) \Gamma_q(\varpi + 1 - \beta + \eta)}{\Gamma_q(\varpi + 1 - \beta) \Gamma_q(\varpi + 1 + \alpha + \eta)} t^{\varpi - \beta},$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\min(\varpi, \varpi - \beta + \eta) > -1$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ .

Firstly, we prove some  $q$ -integral inequalities concerning the Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral operators.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two positive and continuous functions on  $T_{t_0}$ , such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $h$  is increasing on  $T_{t_0}$ . Then, for all  $t > 0$ , we have*

$$I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\theta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\delta}(t)] \geq I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\delta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\theta}(t)], \quad (3.3)$$

where  $1 < q < 1$ ,  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta)$ ,  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\eta - \beta > -1$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta > 0$ .

**Proof.** Consider

$$F^*(t, x) = \frac{t^{-\beta-1} q^{-\eta(\alpha+\beta)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (qx/t; q)_{\alpha-1} \Phi_{q, \frac{q^{\alpha+1}x}{t}} \left( {}_2\Omega_1 [q^{\alpha+\beta}, q^{-\eta}; q^{\alpha}; q, q] \right),$$

for  $x \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ . We note that the function  $F^*(t, x)$  remains positive for all values of  $x \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and under the conditions imposed with Theorem 3.4. Since  $f$  and  $h$  are two positive and continuous on  $T_{t_0}$ , such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $g$  is increasing on  $T_{t_0}$ , for  $x \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , one sees that the inequality (2.3) is satisfied. Now on multiplying both sides of (2.3) by  $F^*(t, x) f^{\theta}(x)$ , and taking  $q$ -integration with respect to  $x$  from 0 to  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h^{\sigma}(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\delta}(t)] + f^{\delta-\theta}(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\theta}(t)] \\ & \geq h^{\sigma}(y) f^{\delta-\theta}(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\theta}(t)] + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\delta}(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Next, multiplying both sides of (3.4) by  $F^*(t, y) f^{\theta}(y)$ , and noting that the function  $F^*(t, y)$  is also positive for all  $y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$  and under the conditions imposed with Theorem 3.1, and taking  $q$ -integration with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\theta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\delta}(t)] + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\delta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\theta}(t)] \\ & \geq I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\delta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\theta}(t)] + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [h^{\sigma}(t) f^{\delta}(t)] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} [f^{\theta}(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that  $f$  and  $h$  are two positive and continuous functions on  $T_{t_0}$ , such that  $f$  is decreasing and  $h$  is increasing on  $T_{t_0}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f^\delta(t) \right] + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\delta(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\theta(t) \right] + I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f^\theta(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $t > 0, 0 < q < 1, \alpha > \max(0, -\beta), \omega > \max(0, -\lambda), \beta, \lambda < 1, \eta - \beta, \gamma - \lambda > -1, \delta \geq \theta > 0, \sigma > 0$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (2.3) by  $G^*(t, y) f^\theta(y)$ , where

$$G^*(t, y) = \frac{t^{-\lambda-1} q^{-\gamma(\omega+\lambda)}}{\Gamma_q(\omega)} \left( \frac{qy}{t}; q \right)_{\omega-1} \Phi_{q, \frac{q\omega+1}{t}} \left( {}_2\Omega_1 \left[ q^{\omega+\lambda}, q^{-\gamma}; q^\omega; q, q \right] \right),$$

for  $y \in (0, t), t > 0$ , we can see that the function  $G^*(t, y)$  remains positive under the conditions stated with Theorem 3.4. Integrating the resulting inequality obtained with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{\delta-\theta}(x) I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] + h^\sigma(x) I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\delta(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] + h^\sigma(x) f^\delta(x) I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\theta(t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.6) by  $F^*(t, x) f^\theta(x)$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $x$  from 0 to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f^\delta(t) \right] + I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\delta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\theta(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f^\theta(t) \right] + I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f^\theta(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f^\delta(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This ends proof of Theorem 3.5.

**Remark 3.6.** For  $\alpha = \omega, \beta = \lambda$  and  $\eta = \gamma$ , Theorem 3.5 immediately reduces to Theorem 3.4.

Next, by using the Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral, we generate new class of the Saigo fractional  $q$ -integral inequalities involving a family of  $n$  positive functions defined on  $T_{t_0}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $h$  be positive continuous functions on  $T_{t_0}$ , such that  $h$  is increasing and  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are decreasing on  $T_{t_0}$ . Then, for all  $t > 0, 0 < q < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta), \beta < 1, \eta - \beta > -1, \sigma > 0, \delta \geq \theta_k > 0, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (2.7) by  $F^*(t, x) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(x), x \in (0, t), t > 0$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $x$  over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & h^\sigma(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] + f_k^{\delta - \theta_k}(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq h^\sigma(y) f_k^{\delta - \theta_k}(y) I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now, multiplying both sides of (3.8) by  $F^*(t, y) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(y), y \in (0, t), t > 0$ , and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to  $y$  from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq 2 I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $h$  be positive continuous functions on  $T_{t_0}$ , such that  $h$  is increasing and  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are decreasing on  $T_{t_0}$ . Then, for all  $t > 0, 0 < q < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & + I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\alpha > \max(0, -\beta)$ ,  $\omega > \max(0, -\lambda)$ ,  $\beta, \lambda < 1$ ,  $\eta - \beta, \gamma - \lambda > -1$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq \theta_k > 0$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (3.8) by  $G^*(t, y) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(y)$ ,  $y \in (0, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and integrating with respect to  $y$  over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & \geq I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] \\ & + I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \left[ h^\sigma(t) f_k^\delta(t) \prod_{i \neq k}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right] I_q^{\omega, \lambda, \gamma} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\theta_i}(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7 is thus proved.

**Remark 3.8.** Putting  $\alpha = \omega$ ,  $\beta = \lambda$  and  $\eta = \gamma$  in Theorem 3.7, we obtain Theorem 3.6 immediately.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Belarbi, Z. Dahmani, On some new fractional integral inequalities, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (2009), 1-12.
- [2] Z. Dahmani, New inequalities in fractional integrals, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. 9 (2010), 493-497.
- [3] M. Houas, Some new Saigo fractional integral inequalities in quantum calculus, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 31 (2016), 761-773.
- [4] A.A Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, vol. 204, Elsevier (North-Holland), Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2006.
- [5] W. Liu, Q. A. Ngo, V. N. Huy, Several interesting integral inequalities, J. Math. Inequal. 3 (2009), 201-212.
- [6] Y. Miao, F. Han, J. Mu, A new Ostrowski-Gruss type inequality, Kragujevac J. Math. 37 (2013), 307-317.
- [7] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, Chebyshev type inequalities for the Saigo fractional integral and their  $q$ -analogues, J. Math. Inequal. 7 (2013), 239-249.
- [8] W. Yang, Some new Chebyshev and Gruss-type integral inequalities for Saigo fractional integral operators and Their  $q$ -analogues, Filomat 29 (2015), 1269-1289.
- [9] Z. Dahmani, N. Bedjaoui, Some generalized integral inequalities, J. Adv. Res. Appl. Math 3 (2011), 58-66.
- [10] Z. Dahmani, New classes of integral inequalities of fractional order, Le Matematiche 69 (2014), 227-235.

- [11] V. L. Chinchane, D. B. Pachpatte, Some new integral inequalities using Hadamard fractional integral operator, *Adv. Inequal. Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 12.
- [12] V. L. Chinchane, D. B. Pachpatte, New fractional inequalities involving Saigo fractional integral operator, *Math. Sci. Lett.* 3 (2014), 133-139.
- [13] Z. Dahmani, A. Benzidane, On a class of fractional  $q$ -integral inequalities, *Malaya J. Math.* 3 (2013), 1-6.
- [14] R. K. Raina, Solution of Abel-type integral equation involving the Appell hypergeometric function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 21 (2010), 515-522.
- [15] M. Saigo, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions, *Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ.* 11 (1978), 135-143.
- [16] R. P. Agarwal, Certain fractional  $q$ -integrals and  $q$ -derivatives, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 66 (1969), 365-370.
- [17] W.A. Al-Salam, Some fractional  $q$ -integrals and  $q$ -derivatives, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 15 (1966), 135-140.
- [18] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [19] S. D. Purohit, R. K. Yadav, On generalized fractional  $q$ -integral operators involving the  $q$ -Gauss hypergeometric function, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2 (2010), 33-42.