



SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR G-METRIC SPACES WITH EXPANSION MAPPINGS

A.S. SALUJA AND MUKESH KUMAR JAIN*

J.H. Govt. Post Graduate College, Betul (M.P.) India

Abstract. In this paper we prove some fixed point results for mapping satisfying expansive conditions on complete G-metric spaces. Also we showed that if G-metric space (X, G) is symmetric, then existence and uniqueness of those results follows from some simple fixed point theorem based on Banach contraction principal in usual metric space (X, d_G) , where (X, d_G) the metric induced by the G-metric space (X, G) .

Keywords: Metric space; generalized metric space; D-metric space; 2-metric space; semi compatible mapping.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

The study of unique common fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the centre of rigorous research activity. During the sixties, the notion of 2 -metric space was introduced by Gahler [3] as a generalization of usual notion of metric space (X, d) . But many other authors proved that there is no relation between these two functions. For instance, Ha et al. [4] showed that 2 -metric need not be continuous function on its variable, where as the ordinary metric is. These considerations led by Dhage [2] in 1992 to introduce a new class of generalized metric spaces called D -metric space as a generalization of ordinary metric spaces (X, d) . However Z. Mustafa and B. Sims [5] have demonstrated that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structure of D -metric space are incorrect. Alternatively, they have introduced [7] more appropriate notion of generalized metric space which called G -metric space. They generalized the concept of metric, in which the real number is assigned to

*Corresponding author

Received February 26, 2015

every triplet of an arbitrary set. Based on the notion of generalized metric spaces, Mustafa et al. [6-8] obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions.

2. Preliminary Notes

Definition 2.1[7]-Let X be nonempty set and let $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function satisfying

$$(G1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z$$

$$(G2) \quad 0 < G(x, x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y$$

$$(G3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } z \neq y$$

$$(G4) \quad G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) + \dots$$

$$(G5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X \text{ (rectangular property)}$$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric space or more specifically a G -Metric on X and the pair (X, G) is G -Metric space.

Proposition 2.2[7] - Let (X, G) is a G -metric space. Then for any x, y, z, a in X , it follows

- $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$
- $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$
- $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$
- $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3} \{G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)\}$
- $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$

Definition 2.3[7]- Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ and one says that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x . Thus, that is $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in G -Metric space (X, G) , then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ Such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$ (we mean by N the set of natural number).

Definition 2.4[7] - Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and a point $x \in X$, the following are equivalent:

- $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to x
- $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 2.5[7] - Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G -Cauchy if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $l, m, n \geq N$. That is $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.6[7]- In a G -metric space, (X, G) , the following are equivalent.

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -Cauchy sequence.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 2.7[7]- Let (X, G) and (X', G') be two G -metric space, and let $f : (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$ be a function, then f is said to be G -continuous at a point $a \in X$ iff, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X$; and $G(a, x, y) < \delta$ implies $G'(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$. A function f is G -continuous at X iff it is G -continuous at all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.8[7]- A G -metric space (X, G) is called symmetric G -metric space if $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 2.9[7]- Every G -metric space (X, G) induces a metric space (X, d_G) defined by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x),$$

For all $x, y \in X$.

Note that if (X, G) is symmetric, then

$$d_G(x, y) = 2G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

However, if (X, G) is not symmetric then it holds by the G metric properties that

$$\frac{3}{2}G(x, y, y) \leq d_G(x, y) \leq 3G(x, y, y) \text{ for } x, y \in X. \text{ Also } G(x, y, y) \geq \frac{1}{3}d_G(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2.10[7]- A G -metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Proposition 2.11[7]- A G -metric space (X, G) is G -complete iff (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Lemma 2.12[7]- Let (X, G) is a G -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x and $\{y_n\}$ converges to y , then $\lim G(x_n, y_n, y_n) = G(x, y, y)$.

Lemma 2.13[7]- Let (X, G) is a G -metric space and $\{y_n\}$ is a any sequence satisfying $G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq k^n G(y_0, y_1, y_1)$ where $k < 1$, then $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Definition 2.14- Let (X, d) be a metric space and f & g are self maps of X .if $\lim fx_n = \lim gx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$ then (f, g) is called semi compatible if $\lim fgx_n = gt$ holds.

Now we define semi compatibility on G metric space.

Definition 2.15- Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and f and g be two self maps of X . Then pair (f, g) is called semi compatible if whenever $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{gx_n\}$ are G -convergent to some $t \in X$ then $\lim G(fgx_n, gt, gt) = 0$.

Theorem 2.16- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f & g be a function mapping X into itself, satisfying the following conditions

$$(a) \quad d(fx, fy) \geq ad(fx, gx) + bd(fy, gy) + cd(gx, gy)$$

Where a, b, c are numbers, satisfy $a > 1, c > 1, b \in R$ ($a + b + c > 1$).

(b) Pair (f, g) is semi compatible with g is continuous.

Then, f & g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- Let x_0 be any point in X . Then there exist point $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_1 = gx_0$. We define a sequence $fx_{n+1} = gx_n = y_n$ where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Now by using (a)

$$d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \geq ad(fx_n, gx_n) + bd(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + cd(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq ad(y_{n-1}, y_n) + bd(y_n, y_{n+1}) + cd(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq \frac{1-a}{b+c} d(y_{n-1}, y_n). \text{ Since } \frac{1-a}{b+c} < 1 \text{ therefore } a+b+c > 1.$$

Let $p = \frac{1-a}{b+c}$ then $d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq pd(y_{n-1}, y_n)$, by similar argument it yields

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq p^n d(y_0, y_1) \dots (1)$$

Now we prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For some $m, n (m > n)$ we have

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m). \text{ Since } m > n, \text{ let } m = n+k \text{ we have}$$

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}). \text{ By (1) this yields}$$

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq p^n d(y_0, y_1) + p^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + p^{n+k-1} d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$\leq p^n (1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{k-1}) d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq p^n \left(\frac{1-p^k}{1-p} \right) d(y_0, y_1) \leq \frac{p^n}{1-p} d(y_0, y_1)$$

Since $p < 1$ therefore taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. This yields $d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. So it will be convergent at some point u in X or $\lim fx_n = \lim gx_n = u$. Since pair (f, g) is semi compatible, this yield $\lim fgx_n = gu$. Also g is continuous then $\lim ggx_n = gu$.

Now by using (a)

$$d(fx_n, fgx_n) \geq ad(fx_n, gx_n) + bd(fgx_n, ggx_n) + cd(gx_n, ggx_n).$$

Now limiting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(u, gu) \geq ad(u, u) + bd(gu, gu) + cd(u, gu)$.

Since $c > 1$, this yields $gu = u$. Again by using (a)

$$d(fu, fx_n) \geq ad(fu, gu) + bd(fx_n, gx_n) + cd(gu, gx_n). \text{ Now limiting } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ we have}$$

$$d(fu, u) \geq ad(fu, u) + bd(u, u) + cd(u, u). \text{ Since } a > 1 \text{ this yields } fu = u. \text{ Therefore } u \text{ is}$$

common fixed point of f & g . Let v is another fixed point of f & g . Then by using (a),

$$d(fu, fv) \geq ad(fu, gu) + bd(fv, gv) + cd(gu, gv).$$

Since $c > 1$ this yields $u = v$, and hence uniqueness proved.

Corollary 2.17- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f be a function mapping from X into itself, satisfying the following conditions

$$(a) \quad d(fx, fy) \geq ad(fx, x) + bd(fy, y) + cd(x, y)$$

Where a, b, c are numbers, satisfy $a > 1, c > 1, b \in R (a + b + c > 1)$.

Then, f has a unique fixed point in X .

Corollary 2.18- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f & g be a function mapping from X into itself, satisfying the following conditions

$$(a) \quad d(fx, fy) \geq ad(fx, gx) + bd(fy, gy) + c \min [d(fy, gx), d(fx, gy), d(gx, gy)]$$

Where a, b, c are numbers, satisfy $a > 1, b \in R(a + b > 1)$ & $c > 1$.

(b) Pair (f, g) is semi compatible with g is continuous.

Then, f & g have unique common fixed point in X .

3. Main Results-

Theorem 3.1- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are mapping satisfies the following conditions

$$(a) \quad G(fx, fy, fy) \geq aG(fx, gx, gx) + bG(fy, gy, gy) + cG(gx, gy, gy)$$

Or

$$(b) \quad G(fx, fx, fy) \geq aG(fx, fx, gx) + bG(fy, fy, gy) + cG(gx, gx, gy)$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, c > 1$ & $b \in R(a + b + c > 1)$

If $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ and pair (f, g) is semi compatible, also g is continuous, then f & g have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- Suppose that f & g satisfy the condition (a) and (b). If (X, G) is symmetric, then by adding these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(fx, fy) &\geq \frac{a}{2}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{2}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{2}d_G(gx, gy) \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{2}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{2}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{2}d_G(gx, gy) \\ d_G(fx, fy) &\geq ad_G(fx, gx) + bd_G(fy, gy) + cd_G(gx, gy) \end{aligned}$$

In this inequality since $a + b + c > 1$ & $x, y \in X$, the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point follows from theorem (2.16). However If (X, G) is

Non-symmetric then by definition of metric d_G on X and proposition (2.9),

$$d_G(fx, fy) = G(fx, fy, fy) + G(fx, fx, fy)$$

$$d_G(fx, fy) \geq \frac{a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{3}d_G(gx, gy) \\ + \frac{a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{3}d_G(gx, gy)$$

$$d_G(fx, fy) \geq \frac{2a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{2b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{2c}{3}d_G(gx, gy), \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \text{ here the expansive}$$

factor $\frac{2a}{3} + \frac{2b}{3} + \frac{2c}{3} = \frac{2}{3}(a + b + c)$ need not be less than 1. Therefore metric d_G gives no information. But the existence of fixed point can be proved by using the properties of G -metric space.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequence $fx_{n+1} = gx_n = y_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and then condition (a) implies that,

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \geq aG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + bG(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + cG(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})$$

$$G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) \geq aG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + bG(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + cG(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$$

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1-a}{b+c}G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n), \text{ Since } \frac{1-a}{b+c} < 1 \text{ or } a + b + c > 1. \text{ Let } q = \frac{1-a}{b+c} \text{ then}$$

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq qG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n). \text{ Continuing in same argument we will have}$$

$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_1)$. By lemma (2.13), $\{y_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence, then by completeness of (X, G) , there exist $u \in X$ such that $\{y_n\}$ is G -convergent to u .

Consequently $\lim fx_n = u$ & $\lim gx_n = u$. Since pair (f, g) is semi compatible then

$$\lim G(fgx_n, gu, gu) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim fgx_n = gu. \text{ Also } g \text{ is continuous then } \lim ggx_n = gu.$$

Now by using (a),

$$G(fgx_n, fx_n, fx_n) \geq aG(fgx_n, ggx_n, ggx_n) + bG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + cG(ggx_n, gx_n, gx_n)$$

$$\text{Now limiting } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ yields } G(gu, u, u) \geq aG(gu, gu, gu) + bG(u, u, u) + cG(gu, u, u)$$

Since $c > 1$ this yields $gu = u$. Again by using (a)

$$G(fu, fx_n, fx_n) \geq aG(fu, gu, gu) + bG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + cG(gu, gx_n, gx_n).$$

Now limiting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields $G(fu, u, u) \geq aG(fu, u, u) + bG(u, u, u) + cG(u, u, u)$. Since $a > 1$, yields $fu = u$. This shows that u is common fixed point of f and g .

Uniqueness-Let v be another fixed point of f & g then by (a),

$$G(fu, fv, fv) \geq aG(fu, gu, gu) + bG(fv, gv, gv) + cG(gu, gv, gv)$$

$$G(u, v, v) \geq aG(u, u, u) + bG(v, v, v) + cG(u, v, v). \text{ Since } c > 1 \text{ this yields } u = v.$$

If f & g satisfy condition (b) then the argument is similar to the above. However to show that sequence $\{y_n\}$ is G -Cauchy. By using (b),

$$G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \geq aG(fx_n, fx_n, gx_n) + bG(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + cG(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1})$$

$$G(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq aG(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) + bG(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + cG(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1-a}{b+c} G(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n). \text{ Since } \frac{1-a}{b+c} < 1. \text{ Let } \frac{1-a}{b+c} = q, \text{ then}$$

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq qG(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n). \text{ Continuing in same argument we have}$$

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_0, y_1). \text{ By lemma (2.13) } \{y_n\} \text{ is } G \text{ Cauchy sequence.}$$

Corollary3.2- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies the following condition,

$$(a) G(f^m x, f^m y, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, g^m x, g^m x) + bG(f^m y, g^m y, g^m y) + cG(g^m x, g^m y, g^m y)$$

Or

$$(b) G(f^m x, f^m x, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, f^m x, g^m x) + bG(f^m y, f^m y, g^m y) + cG(g^m x, g^m x, g^m y)$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, c > 1$ & $b \in R (a + b + c > 1)$

If $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ and pair (f, g) is semi compatible, also g is continuous, then f & g have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- From the previous theorem we see that f^m & g^m have unique fixed point (say u), that is $f^m(u) = u$ & $g^m(u) = u$. But $f(u) = f(f^m(u)) = f^m(f(u))$, therefore $f(u)$ is another fixed point of f^m . And by uniqueness $f(u) = u$. By similar argument that $g(u) = u$. Therefore u is unique common fixed point of f & g .

If we take g is an identity map in theorem (3.1) we get following corollary

Corollary 3.3- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies the following condition

$$(a) G(fx, fy, fy) \geq aG(fx, x, x) + bG(fy, y, y) + cG(x, y, y)$$

Or

$$(b) G(fx, fx, fy) \geq aG(fx, fx, x) + bG(fy, fy, y) + cG(x, x, y)$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, c > 1$ & $b \in R (a + b + c > 1)$

Then f has unique fixed point in X .

Proof- This will follow theorem (3.1) and can be proved with the help of corollary (2.17).

Corollary 3.4- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies the following condition

$$(a) G(f^m x, f^m y, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, x, x) + bG(f^m y, y, y) + cG(x, y, y)$$

Or

$$(b) G(f^m x, f^m x, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, f^m x, x) + bG(f^m y, f^m y, y) + cG(x, x, y)$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, c > 1$ & $b \in R (a + b + c > 1)$

Then f^m has unique fixed point in X .

Proof- From the previous corollary we see that f^m has unique fixed point (say u), that is $f^m(u) = u$. But $f(u) = f(f^m(u)) = f^m(f(u))$, therefore $f(u)$ is another fixed point of f^m .

But by uniqueness $f(u) = u$.

Theorem 3.5- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are mapping satisfies the following conditions

$$(a) G(fx, fy, fy) \geq aG(fx, gx, gx) + bG(fy, gy, gy) + c \min [G(fy, gx, gx), G(fx, gy, gy), G(gx, gy, gy)]$$

Or

$$(b) G(fx, fx, fy) \geq aG(fx, fx, gx) + bG(fy, fy, gy) + c \min [G(fy, fy, gx), G(fx, fx, gy), G(gx, gx, gy)]$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, b \in R (a + b > 1)$ & $c > 2$

If $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ and pair (f, g) is semi compatible, also g is continuous, then f & g have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- Suppose that f & g satisfy the condition (a) and (b). If (X, G) is symmetric, then by adding these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(fx, fy) &\geq \frac{a}{2}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{2}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{2}\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{2}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{2}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{2}\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \\ d_G(fx, fy) &\geq ad_G(fx, gx) + bd_G(fy, gy) + c\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \end{aligned}$$

In this inequality since $a + b + c > 1$ & $x, y \in X$, the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point follows from corollary (2.18). However If (X, G) is non-symmetric then by definition of metric d_G on X and proposition (2.9),

$$d_G(fx, fy) = G(fx, fy, fy) + G(fx, fx, fy)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(fx, fy) &\geq \frac{a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{3}\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{c}{3}\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \\ d_G(fx, fy) &\geq \frac{2a}{3}d_G(fx, gx) + \frac{2b}{3}d_G(fy, gy) + \frac{2c}{3}\min[d_G(fy, gx), d_G(fx, gy), d_G(gx, gy)] \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$, here the expansive factor $\frac{2a}{3} + \frac{2b}{3} + \frac{2c}{3} = \frac{2}{3}(a + b + c)$ need not be less than 1. Therefore

metric d_G gives no information. But the existence of fixed point can be proved by using the properties of G -metric space.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequence $fx_{n+1} = gx_n = y_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and then condition (a) implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) &\geq aG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) + bG(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + c\min[G(fx_{n+1}, gx_n, gx_n), G(fx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})] \\ G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) &\geq aG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + bG(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + c\min[G(y_n, y_n, y_n), G(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1-a}{b} G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)$, Since $\frac{1-a}{b} < 1$ or $a+b > 1$. Let $q = \frac{1-a}{b}$ then

$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq qG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n)$. Continuing in same argument we will have

$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_1)$. By lemma (2.13), $\{y_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence, then by completeness of (X, G) , there exist $u \in X$ such that $\{y_n\}$ is G -convergent to u .

Consequently $\lim fx_n = u$ & $\lim gx_n = u$. Since pair (f, g) is semi compatible then

$\lim G(fgx_n, gu, gu) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim fgx_n = gu$. Also g is continuous then $\lim ggx_n = gu$.

Now by using (a),

$$G(fgx_n, fx_n, fx_n) \geq aG(fgx_n, ggx_n, ggx_n) + bG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) \\ + c \min[G(fx_n, ggx_n, ggx_n), G(fgx_n, gx_n, gx_n), G(ggx_n, gx_n, gx_n)]$$

Now limiting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$G(gu, u, u) \geq aG(gu, gu, gu) + bG(u, u, u) + c \min[G(u, gu, gu), G(gu, u, u), G(gu, u, u)]$$

By proposition (2.2) it can be easily obtained that

$$G(gu, u, u) \geq c \min\left[\frac{1}{2}G(gu, u, u), G(gu, u, u), G(gu, u, u)\right]$$

$G(gu, u, u) \geq \frac{c}{2}G(gu, u, u)$. Since $c > 2$ this yields $gu = u$. Again by using (a)

$$G(fu, fx_n, fx_n) \geq aG(fu, gu, gu) + bG(fx_n, gx_n, gx_n) \\ + c \min[G(fx_n, gu, gu), G(fu, gx_n, gx_n), G(gu, gx_n, gx_n)]$$

Now limiting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$G(fu, u, u) \geq aG(fu, u, u) + bG(u, u, u) + c \min[G(u, u, u), G(fu, u, u), G(u, u, u)]$$

$G(fu, u, u) \geq aG(fu, u, u)$. Since $a > 1$, this yields $fu = u$. Therefore u is common fixed point of f and g .

Uniqueness can be easily proved for this theorem.

If f & g satisfy condition (b) then the argument is similar to the above theorem. However to show that sequence $\{y_n\}$ is G -Cauchy. By using (b),

$$G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \geq aG(fx_n, fx_n, gx_n) + bG(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ + c \min[G(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, gx_n), G(fx_n, fx_n, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1})]$$

$$G(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq aG(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) + bG(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ + c \min[G(y_n, y_n, y_n), G(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})]$$

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1-a}{b} G(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) \quad , \quad \text{Since } \frac{1-a}{b} < 1 \text{ or } a+b > 1 \quad . \quad \text{Let } q = \frac{1-a}{b} \quad \text{then}$$

$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq qG(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n)$. Continuing in same argument we will have

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(y_0, y_0, y_1). \text{ By lemma (2.13), } \{y_n\} \text{ is a } G\text{-Cauchy sequence.}$$

Corollary 3.6- Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies the following condition,

$$G(f^m x, f^m y, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, g^m x, g^m x) + bG(f^m y, g^m y, g^m y) \\ + c \min[G(f^m y, g^m x, g^m x), G(f^m x, g^m y, g^m y), G(g^m x, g^m y, g^m y)]$$

Or

$$G(f^m x, f^m x, f^m y) \geq aG(f^m x, f^m x, g^m x) + bG(f^m y, f^m y, g^m y) \\ + c \min[G(f^m y, f^m y, g^m x), G(f^m x, f^m x, g^m y), G(g^m x, g^m x, g^m y)]$$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a > 1, b \in R(a+b > 1)$ & $c > 2$

If $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ and pair (f, g) is semi compatible, also g is continuous, then f & g have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- We use the same argument as in corollary (3.2).

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M., Khan, S.H. and Nazir, T.: Common fixed points of R-weakly commuting maps in generalized metric spaces. Fixed point theory and applications 2011 (2011), Article ID 41.
- [2] Dhage, B.C.: Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [3] Gahler, S.: 2-Metriche raume and ihre topologische strukture. Math.Nachr., 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [4] HA, E., S. KI, Y. CHO and A. White: Strictly convex and 2-convex and 2-normed spaces. Math. Japonica, 33(1988), 375-484.

- [5] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B.: Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces. Proceeding of the International conferences on fixed point theory and applications, July 13-19, Yokohama publishers, Valencia, Spain, (2003), 189-198
- [6] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H. and Awawdeh, F.: Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric space. Fixed point theory and applications, 2008 (2008), Article ID 189870.
- [7] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B.: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [8] Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W. and Bataineh, M.: Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2009 (2009), article ID 283028.
- [9] Reich, S.: Some Remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canad. Math. Bull., 14 (1971), 121-124.