



## HYBRID PROJECTION ALGORITHMS FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY QUASI- $\phi$ -NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

C. WU<sup>1</sup> AND G. WANG<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Business and Administration, Henan University, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Waterloo, Canada

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate hybrid projection algorithms for asymptotically quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive mapping in the framework of Banach spaces. Our results improve and extend the corresponding ones announced by many others.

**Keywords:** asymptotic behavior; hybrid algorithm; nonexpansive mapping; generalized projection.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H09, 47H10

### 1. Introduction

Recently, hybrid projection algorithm have been studied as an effective and powerful tool for studying a wide class of real world problems which arise in economics, finance, image reconstruction, transportation, and network; see [1-22] and the references therein. In this paper, we investigate hybrid projection algorithms for asymptotically quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive mapping in the framework of Banach spaces. Strong convergence theorems of fixed points are established.

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: hdwucq@126.com (C. Wu), drgangwang@gmail.com (G. Wang)

Received May 20, 2013

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a Banach space with dual  $E^*$ . We denote by  $J$  the normalized duality mapping from  $E$  to  $2^{E^*}$  defined by

$$Jx = \{f^* \in E^* : \langle x, f^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f^*\|^2\},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that if  $E^*$  is strictly convex then  $J$  is single-valued and if  $E^*$  is uniformly convex, then  $J$  is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $E$ .

As we all know that if  $C$  is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $P_C : H \rightarrow C$  is the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ , then  $P_C$  is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and consequently, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [7] recently introduced a generalized projection operator  $\Pi_C$  in a Banach space  $E$  which is an analogue of the metric projection in Hilbert spaces.

Consider the functional defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad \text{for } x, y \in E. \quad (2.1)$$

Observe that, in a Hilbert space  $H$ , (2.1) reduces to  $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$ ,  $x, y \in H$ . The generalized projection  $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$  is a map that assigns to an arbitrary point  $x \in E$  the minimum point of the functional  $\phi(x, y)$ , that is,  $\Pi_C x = \bar{x}$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is the solution to the minimization problem

$$\phi(\bar{x}, x) = \inf_{y \in C} \phi(y, x) \quad (2.2)$$

existence and uniqueness of the operator  $\Pi_C$  follows from the properties of the functional  $\phi(x, y)$  and strict monotonicity of the mapping  $J$  [7]. In Hilbert spaces,  $\Pi_C = P_C$ . It is obvious from the definition of function  $\phi$  that

$$(\|y\| - \|x\|)^2 \leq \phi(y, x) \leq (\|y\| + \|x\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E. \quad (2.3)$$

**Remark 2.1.** If  $E$  is a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, then for  $x, y \in E$ ,  $\phi(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ . It is sufficient to show that if  $\phi(x, y) = 0$  then  $x = y$ . From (2.3), we have  $\|x\| = \|y\|$ . This implies  $\langle x, Jy \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|Jy\|^2$ . From the definition of  $J$ , one has  $Jx = Jy$ . Therefore, we have  $x = y$ ; see [8] for more details.

Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $E$ , and let  $T$  be a mapping from  $C$  into itself. A point  $p$  in  $C$  is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of  $T$  [9] if  $C$  contains a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which converges weakly to  $p$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ . The set of asymptotic fixed points of  $T$  will be denoted by  $\widetilde{F(T)}$ . A mapping  $T$  from  $C$  into itself is said to be relatively nonexpansive if  $\widetilde{F(T)} = F(T)$  and  $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $p \in F(T)$ .

Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $E$ , and  $T$  a mapping from  $C$  into itself. Recall that  $T$  is said to be  $\phi$ -nonexpansive, if  $\phi(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in C$ .  $T$  is said to be quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive if  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$  for  $x \in C$  and  $p \in F(T)$ . Recall also that  $T$  is said to be  $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive, if there exists some real sequence  $\{k_n\}$  with  $k_n \geq 1$  and  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\phi(T^n x, T^n y) \leq k_n \phi(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in C$ .  $T$  is said to be quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive if  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\phi(p, T^n x) \leq k_n \phi(p, x)$  for  $x \in C$  and  $p \in F(T)$ .  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be asymptotically regular on  $C$  if, for any bounded subset  $K$  of  $C$ , there holds

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|T^{n+1}x - T^n x\| : x \in K\} = 0.$$

**Remark 2.2.** The class of quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is more general than the class of relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings [10,11] which requires the strong restriction:  $F(T) = \widetilde{F(T)}$ .

**Remark 2.3.** A  $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set  $F(T)$  is a quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, but the converse may be not true.

Next, we give some examples [12] which are closed quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $E$  be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space and  $A \subset E \times E^*$  is a maximal monotone mapping such that its zero set  $A^{-1}0$  is nonempty. Then,  $J_r = (J + rA)^{-1}$  is a closed quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping from  $E$  onto  $D(A)$  and  $F(J_r) = A^{-1}0$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\Pi_C$  be the generalized projection from a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space  $E$  onto a nonempty closed convex subset  $C$  of  $E$ . Then,  $\Pi_C$  is a closed quasi- $\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping from  $E$  onto  $C$  with  $F(\Pi_C) = C$ .

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be strictly convex if  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$  for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ . It is said to be uniformly convex if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$  for any two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $E$  such that  $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\| = 1$ . Let  $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| =$

$U$  be the unit sphere of  $E$ . Then the Banach space  $E$  is said to be smooth provided

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each  $x, y \in U$ . It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for  $x, y \in E$ . It is well known that if  $E$  is uniformly smooth, then  $J$  is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of  $E$ .

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

**Lemma 2.1** [13] *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two sequences of  $E$ . If  $\phi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  and either  $\{x_n\}$  or  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, then  $x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** [7] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space  $E$  and  $x \in E$ . Then,  $x_0 = \Pi_C x$  if and only if*

$$\langle x_0 - y, Jx - Jx_0 \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C.$$

**Lemma 2.3** [7] *Let  $E$  be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$  and let  $x \in E$ . Then*

$$\phi(y, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \leq \phi(y, x) \quad \forall y \in C.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [12] *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space, let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $E$ , and let  $T$  be a closed quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive mapping from  $C$  into itself. Then  $F(T)$  is a closed convex subset of  $C$ .*

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$  and let  $C$  be a nonempty and closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a closed asymptotically quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(T)$  is bounded. Assume that  $T$  is*

asymptotically regular on  $C$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0 \in E \quad \text{chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, \\ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0, \\ y_n = J^{-1} \left( \alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J T^n \left( \Pi_C J^{-1} (\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J T^n x_n) \right) \right), \\ C_{n+1} = \{u \in C_n : \phi(u, y_n) \leq \phi(u, x_n) \\ \quad + (1 - \alpha_n) [h_n \|\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J T^n x_n\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 + (h_n - 1)M \\ \quad - 2h_n \langle u, J(\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J T^n x_n) \rangle + 2 \langle u, J x_n \rangle]\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

where  $M$  is an appropriate constant such that  $M \geq \|w\|^2$  for any  $w \in F(T)$ . Assume that  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 1$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\Pi_{F(T)} x_0$ .

**Proof.** Put  $z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J T^n x_n)$ . We show that  $C_n$  is closed and convex for all  $n \geq 0$ . It is obvious that  $C_1 = C$  is closed and convex. Suppose that  $C_k$  is closed and convex for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the natural numbers set. For  $u \in C_k$ , one obtains that

$$\phi(u, y_k) \leq \phi(u, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) [h_k \|z_k\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2 + (h_k - 1)M - 2h_k \langle u, J z_k \rangle + 2 \langle u, J x_k \rangle]$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_k) [2h_k \langle u, J z_k \rangle - 2 \langle u, J x_k \rangle] + 2 \langle u, J x_k \rangle - 2 \langle u, J y_k \rangle \\ & \leq \|x_k\|^2 - \|y_k\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_k) [h_k \|z_k\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2 + (h_k - 1)M]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $C_{k+1}$  is closed and convex. Then, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $C_n$  is closed and convex. This shows that  $\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0$  is well defined. Next, we prove  $F(T) \subset C_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $F(T) \subset C_1 = C$  is

obvious. Suppose  $F(T) \subset C_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for  $\forall w \in F(T) \subset C_k$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(w, y_k) \\
& \leq \|w\|^2 - 2\alpha_k \langle w, Jx_k \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_k) \langle w, JT^k z_k \rangle + \alpha_k \|x_k\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_k) \|T^k z_k\|^2 \\
& = \alpha_k \phi(w, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) \phi(w, T^k z_k) \\
& \leq \alpha_k \phi(w, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) h_k \phi(w, z_k) \\
& = \phi(w, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) [h_k \phi(w, z_k) - \phi(w, x_k)] \\
& \leq \phi(w, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) [h_k \|z_k\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2 + (h_k - 1) \|w\|^2 - 2h_k \langle w, Jz_k \rangle + 2 \langle w, Jx_k \rangle] \\
& \leq \phi(w, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) [h_k \|z_k\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2 + (h_k - 1)M - 2h_k \langle w, Jz_k \rangle + 2 \langle w, Jx_k \rangle].
\end{aligned}$$

which shows  $w \in C_{k+1}$ . This implies that  $F(T) \subset C_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . From  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0$ , one sees

$$\langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $F(T) \subset C_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , we arrive at

$$\langle x_n - w, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T). \quad (3.3)$$

In view of Lemma 2.3, one obtains that

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_0, x_0) \leq \phi(w, x_0) - \phi(w, x_n) \leq \phi(w, x_0),$$

for each  $w \in F(T) \subset C_n$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\phi(x_n, x_0)$  is bounded. On the other hand, noticing that  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0$  and  $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ , one has  $\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$  is nondecreasing. It follows that the limit of  $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$  exists. By the construction of  $C_n$ , one has that  $C_m \subset C_n$  and  $x_m = \Pi_{C_m} x_0 \in C_n$  for any positive integer  $m \geq n$ . It follows that

$$\phi(x_m, x_n) \leq \phi(x_m, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0). \quad (3.4)$$

Letting  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.4), one has  $\phi(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $x_m - x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $E$  is a Banach space and  $C$  is closed and convex, one can assume that  $x_n \rightarrow p \in C$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next, we show  $p = \Pi_{F(T)}x_0$ . To show this, we first show  $p \in F(T)$ . By taking  $m = 1$  in (3.4), one arrives at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Noticing that  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) &\leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[h_n \|z_n\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + (h_n - 1)M - 2h_n \langle x_{n+1}, Jz_n \rangle + 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Jx_n \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) = 0.$$

Notice that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) \leq \beta_n \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(x_{n+1}, T^n x_n).$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 1$  and (3.5), one has

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &h_n \|z_n\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 - 2h_n \langle x_{n+1}, Jz_n \rangle + 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Jx_n \rangle \\ &= h_n (\|z_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Jz_n \rangle) + 2 \langle x_{n+1}, Jx_n \rangle - h_n \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq h_n \phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) + 2 \|x_{n+1}\| \|x_n\| - \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq h_n \phi(x_{n+1}, z_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Since  $J$  is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - Jx_n\| = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Noticing that

$$\|Jx_{n+1} - JT^n z_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} (\|Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n\| + \alpha_n \|Jx_n - Jx_{n+1}\|).$$

From (3.11) and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - JT^n z_n\| = 0.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T^n z_n\| = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence one arrives at

$$\|x_n - T^n x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^n z_n\|.$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.12) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0$ . Noticing that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one has

$$T^n x_n \rightarrow p, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\|T^{n+1} x_n - p\| \leq \|T^{n+1} x_n - T^n x_n\| + \|T^n x_n - p\|.$$

From (3.13) and the asymptotic regularity of  $T$ , one obtains  $T^{n+1} x_n \rightarrow p$ ; that is,  $TT^n x_n \rightarrow p$ .

From the closedness of  $T$ , one gets  $p = Tp$ .

Finally, we show that  $p = \Pi_{F(T)} x_0$ . From  $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0$ , one has

$$\langle x_n - w, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T) \subset C_n. \quad (3.14)$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.14), we obtain that

$$\langle p - w, Jx_0 - Jp \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T),$$

and hence  $p = \Pi_{F(T)} x_0$  by Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof.



## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Iiduka, Fixed point optimization algorithm and its application to network bandwidth allocation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 236 (2012), 1733-1742.
- [2] H. Iiduka, Strong convergence for an iterative method for the triple-hierarchical constrained optimization problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009), e1292-e1297.
- [3] M.A. Noor, Some developments in general variational inequalities, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 152 (2004), 199-277.
- [4] Y. Censor, N. Cohen, T. Kutscher, J. Shamir, Summed squared distance error reduction by simultaneous multiprojections and applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 126 (2002), 157-179.
- [5] Y. Censor, T. Elfving, A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space, *Numer. Algorithms* 8 (1994), 221-239.
- [6] C.L. Byrne, A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction, *Inverse Probl.* 20 (2004), 103-120.
- [7] Ya. I. Alber, Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications, in: A. G. Kartsatos (Ed.), *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 15-50.
- [8] I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [9] S. Reich, A weak convergence theorem for the alternating method with Bregman distance, in: A.G. Kartsatos (Ed.), *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type*, (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996).
- [10] Y. Su, X. Qin, Strong convergence of modified Ishikawa iterations for nonlinear mappings, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math.Sci.)* 117 (2007), 97-107.
- [11] R.P. Agarwal, Y.J. Cho, X. Qin, Generalized projection algorithms for nonlinear operators, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 28 (2007), 1197-1215.
- [12] X. Qin, Y.J. Cho and S. M. Kang, Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 225 (2009), 20-30.
- [13] S. Kamimura, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space, *SIAM J. Optim.* 13 (2002), 938-945.
- [14] S. Plubtieng, R. Punpaeng, A new iterative method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 197 (2008), 548-558.
- [15] C.L. Byrne, T. Elfving, N. Kopf, T. Bortfeld, The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications for inverse problems, *Inverse Probl.* 21 (2005), 2071-2084.

- [16] J. Shen, L.P. Pang, An approximate bundle method for solving variational inequalities, *Commn. Optim. Theory*, 1 (2012), 1-18.
- [17] C.L. Byrne, T. Bortfeld, B. Martin, A. Trofimov, A unified approach for inversion problems in intensity modulated radiation therapy, *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 51 (2006), 2353-2365.
- [18] Y. Censor, A. Motova, A. Segal, Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007), 1244-1256.
- [19] Y. Censor, S.A. Zenios, *Parallel Optimization*, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [20] P.L. Combettes, The Convex Feasibility Problem in Image Recovery, *Adv. Imaging Electron Phy.* 95 (1996), 155-270.
- [21] H.K. Xu, Iterative methods for the split feasibility problem in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, *Inverse Probl.* 26 (2010), 105018.
- [22] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer, 2011.